

Nov. 21, 2013, Planning Commission Agenda Comments

Comments by: Jim Mosher (jimmosher@yahoo.com), 2210 Private Road, Newport Beach 92660 (949-548-6229).

Item No. 1 Minutes of November 7, 2013

The following minor corrections to the draft minutes are suggested:

Pages 2 and 3: There is an inconsistency in the way Jaime Murillo is referred to. In the "Roll Call" on page 1, he is listed as an "**Associate Planner**," but in seven places on pages 2-3 he is given the title "**Senior Planner**," as he was in the staff report to that item and in the staff report to Item 3 on tonight's agenda. Should the "Roll Call" listing be corrected to say "Senior"?

Page 2, paragraph 4: "...Linda Isle residents, mobile home residents, **Bay Shore Bayshores** residents, and adjacent/nearby Home Owner Associations."

Page 2, paragraph 5: "... and the use of established grades in **measure measuring** building heights, ..." and "Recommendations will be provided by staff **and during**[?] the future development phase."

Page 2, next to last paragraph: "... the mobile home **park** and their project site is part of the three parcel map" and "He reported that the project, thus far, has taken three and **a-half years (3½) a half (3½) years** ..." [no dash; I also fail to understand why every number needs to be repeated in parenthesis]

Pages 3, paragraph 2 and page 4, paragraph 1: "Pearson's **Point Port**"

Page 4: in the last paragraph before "VIII. NEW BUSINESS": "Mr. **Erksten Erskine** indicated ..."

Item No. 3 Miramar Drive Code Amendment (PA2013-211)

In Attachment No. PC 1 (Draft Resolution Recommending Approval):

Section 3.1: "...to accommodate increased vehicular maneuverability through the **alley-ways alleyways**. ... a 0-foot rear setback will not impact **in** vehicular maneuverability ..."

Section 3.2: "Changing the rear setback to the standard 0-foot requirement would not result in **a** significant change to the existing development pattern of the neighborhood ..."

Section 3.3: "Changing the **setback-from** rear setback from 6 feet to 0 feet would result in a buildable area and allowable floor area that is consistent with other lots in the vicinity."